

ELLIPTIC SELBERG INTEGRALS AND CONFORMAL BLOCKS

G. FELDER ^{*}, L. STEVENS [◇], AND A. VARCHENKO ^{◇,1}

^{*} *Departement Mathematik, ETH-Zentrum, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland,
felder@math.ethz.ch*

[◇] *Department of Mathematics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill,
Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3250, USA,
lstevens@email.unc.edu, anv@email.unc.edu*

October, 2002

ABSTRACT. We present an elliptic version of Selberg's integral formula.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Selberg integral is the integral

$$B_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \int_{\Delta_p} \prod_{j=1}^p t_j^{\alpha-1} (1-t_j)^{\beta-1} \prod_{0 \leq j < k \leq 1} (t_j - t_k)^{2\gamma} dt_1 \dots dt_p,$$

where $\Delta_p = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^p \mid 0 \leq t_p \leq \dots \leq t_1 \leq 1\}$. The Selberg integral is a generalization of the beta function. It can be calculated explicitly,

$$B_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \frac{1}{p!} \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} \frac{\Gamma(1+\gamma+j\gamma)\Gamma(\alpha+j\gamma)\Gamma(\beta+j\gamma)}{\Gamma(1+\gamma)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+(p+j-1)\gamma)}.$$

The Selberg integral has many applications, see [A1, A2, As, D, DF1, DF2, M, S]. In this paper, we present elliptic versions of the Selberg integral.

2. CONFORMAL BLOCKS ON THE TORUS

Let $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $\text{Im } \tau > 0$. Let κ and p be non-negative integers satisfying $\kappa \geq 2p + 2$. The KZB-heat equation is the partial differential equation

$$(1) \quad 2\pi i \kappa \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau}(\lambda, \tau) = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \lambda^2}(\lambda, \tau) + p(p+1) \rho'(\lambda, \tau) u(\lambda, \tau).$$

¹ Supported in part by NSF grant DMS-9801582.

Here, the prime denotes the derivative with respect to the first argument, and ρ is defined in terms of the first Jacobi theta function,

$$\vartheta_1(\lambda, \tau) = 2q^{\frac{1}{8}} \sin(\pi\lambda) \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^j e^{2\pi i\lambda})(1 - q^j e^{-2\pi i\lambda})(1 - q^j), \quad \rho(\lambda, \tau) = \frac{\vartheta_1'(\lambda, \tau)}{\vartheta_1(\lambda, \tau)},$$

where $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$. Holomorphic solutions of the KZB-heat equation with the properties,

- (i) $u(\lambda + 2, \tau) = u(\lambda, \tau)$,
- (ii) $u(\lambda + 2\tau, \tau) = e^{-2\pi i\kappa(\lambda + \tau)} u(\lambda, \tau)$,
- (iii) $u(-\lambda, \tau) = (-1)^{p+1} u(\lambda, \tau)$,
- (iv) $u(\lambda, \tau) = \mathcal{O}((\lambda - m - n\tau)^{p+1})$ as $\lambda \rightarrow m + n\tau$ for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$

are called conformal blocks (or elliptic hypergeometric functions) associated with the family of elliptic curves $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$ with the marked point $z = 0$ and the irreducible sl_2 representation of dimension $2p + 1$. It is known that the space of conformal blocks has dimension $\kappa - 2p - 1$.

3. INTEGRAL REPRESENTATIONS OF CONFORMAL BLOCKS

Introduce special functions

$$\sigma_\lambda(t, \tau) = \frac{\vartheta_1(\lambda - t, \tau) \vartheta_1'(0, \tau)}{\vartheta_1(\lambda, \tau) \vartheta_1(t, \tau)}, \quad E(t, \tau) = \frac{\vartheta_1(t, \tau)}{\vartheta_1'(0, \tau)}.$$

Consider the theta functions

$$\theta_{\kappa, n}(\lambda, \tau) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i\kappa(j + \frac{n}{2\kappa})^2 \tau + 2\pi i\kappa(j + \frac{n}{2\kappa})\lambda}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}/2\kappa\mathbb{Z}.$$

They form a basis of the space of theta functions of level κ . They satisfy the equations

$$\theta_{\kappa, n}(\lambda + 1, \tau) = (-1)^n \theta_{\kappa, n}(\lambda, \tau), \quad \theta_{\kappa, n}(\lambda + \tau, \tau) = e^{-\pi i\kappa(\lambda + \frac{\tau}{2})} \theta_{\kappa, n+\kappa}(\lambda, \tau)$$

and have the modular properties

$$\theta_{\kappa, n}(\lambda, \tau + 1) = e^{\pi i \frac{n^2}{2\kappa}} \theta_{\kappa, n}(\lambda, \tau), \quad \theta_{\kappa, n}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \sqrt{-\frac{i\tau}{2\kappa}} e^{\pi i\kappa \frac{\lambda^2}{2\tau}} \sum_{m=0}^{2\kappa-1} e^{-\pi i \frac{mn}{\kappa}} \theta_{\kappa, m}(\lambda, \tau),$$

where $|\arg(-i\tau)| < \pi/2$. Let $\theta_{\kappa, n}^s$ denote the symmetrization of $\theta_{\kappa, n}$ with respect to λ , $\theta_{\kappa, n}^s(\lambda, \tau) = \theta_{\kappa, n}(\lambda, \tau) + \theta_{\kappa, n}(-\lambda, \tau)$.

Define $u_{\kappa, n}$ by

$$u_{\kappa, n}(\lambda, \tau) = u_{p, \kappa, n}(\lambda, \tau) = J_{p, \kappa, n}(\lambda, \tau) + (-1)^{p+1} J_{p, \kappa, n}(-\lambda, \tau),$$

where

$$J_{p,\kappa,n}(\lambda, \tau) = \int_{\Delta_p} \prod_{j=1}^p E(t_j, \tau)^{-\frac{2p}{\kappa}} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq p} E(t_j - t_k, \tau)^{\frac{2}{\kappa}} \times \prod_{j=1}^p \sigma_\lambda(t_j, \tau) \theta_{\kappa,n} \left(\lambda + \frac{2}{\kappa} \sum_{j=1}^p t_j, \tau \right) dt_1 \dots dt_p.$$

The branch of the logarithm is chosen in such a way that $\arg(E(t, \tau)) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$, and the integral is understood as the analytic continuation from the region where all of the exponents in the integrand have positive real parts.

Theorem 3.1. [FV1] *For all n , the integrals $u_{\kappa,n}(\lambda, \tau)$ are solutions of the KZB-heat equation having the properties (i)-(iv).*

Theorem 3.2. [FSV2] *We have*

- (a) $u_{\kappa,n} = u_{\kappa,n+2\kappa}$ and $u_{\kappa,n} = -e^{2\pi i p n / \kappa} u_{\kappa,-n}$.
- (b) *The set $\{u_{\kappa,n}(\lambda, \tau) \mid n = p+1, \dots, \kappa-p-1\}$ is a basis for the space of conformal blocks. The integrals $u_{\kappa,n}$ are identically zero for all other values of n in the interval from 0 to κ .*

4. TRANSFORMATIONS ACTING ON THE SPACE OF CONFORMAL BLOCKS

Introduce four transformations A , B , T , and S defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Au(\lambda, \tau) &= u(\lambda + 1, \tau), & Bu(\lambda, \tau) &= e^{\pi i \kappa (\lambda + \frac{\tau}{2})} u(\lambda + \tau, \tau), \\ Tu(\lambda, \tau) &= u(\lambda, \tau + 1), & Su(\lambda, \tau) &= e^{-\pi i \kappa \frac{\lambda^2}{2\tau} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{p(p+1)}{\kappa}} u\left(\frac{\lambda}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we fix $\arg \tau \in (0, \pi)$.

Proposition 4.1. *If $u(\lambda, \tau)$ is a solution of the KZB-heat equation, then $Au(\lambda, \tau)$, $Bu(\lambda, \tau)$, $Tu(\lambda, \tau)$, and $Su(\lambda, \tau)$ are solutions too. Moreover, the transformations A , B , T and S preserve the properties (i)-(iv).*

The proofs that T and S preserve the space of conformal blocks are given in [EK]. The proofs that A and B also preserve this space are straightforward and follow from the equations

$$\vartheta_1(\lambda + 1, \tau) = -\vartheta_1(\lambda, \tau), \quad \vartheta_1(\lambda + \tau, \tau) = -e^{-\pi i (2\lambda + \tau)} \vartheta_1(\lambda, \tau).$$

Lemma 4.2. *Restricted to the space of conformal blocks, the transformations A , B , T , and S satisfy the relations*

$$\begin{aligned} A^2 &= I, & B^2 &= I, & S^2 &= (-1)^p i e^{-\pi i \frac{p(p+1)}{\kappa}} I, & (ST)^3 &= (-1)^p i e^{-\pi i \frac{p(p+1)}{\kappa}} I, \\ SAS^{-1} &= B, & AB &= (-1)^\kappa BA, & TB &= i^\kappa BAT, \end{aligned}$$

where I denotes the identity transformation. □

Lemma 4.3. *We have*

$$Au_{\kappa,n}(\lambda, \tau) = (-1)^n u_{\kappa,n}(\lambda, \tau), \quad Bu_{\kappa,n}(\lambda, \tau) = -e^{2\pi i \frac{pn}{\kappa}} u_{\kappa,\kappa-n}(\lambda, \tau). \quad \square$$

Let $(t_{m,n})$ and $(s_{m,n})$ be the matrices of the transformations T and S , respectively, with respect to the basis $\{u_{\kappa,n}(\lambda, \tau) \mid p+1 \leq n \leq \kappa-p-1\}$, namely, $Tu_{\kappa,n} = \sum_{m=p+1}^{\kappa-p-1} t_{m,n} u_{\kappa,m}$, $Su_{\kappa,n} = \sum_{m=p+1}^{\kappa-p-1} s_{m,n} u_{\kappa,m}$. In Theorem 4.4, we give formulas for the matrices of T and S in terms of Macdonald polynomials of type A_1 .

The Macdonald polynomials [Ma] of type A_1 are x -even polynomials in terms of ϵ^{mx} , where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. They depend on two parameters k and n , where k and n are non-negative integers. They are defined by the conditions:

- (1) $P_n^{(k)}(x) = \epsilon^{nx} + \epsilon^{-nx} + \text{lower order terms}$, except for $P_0^{(k)}(x) = 1$,
- (2) $\langle P_m^{(k)}, P_n^{(k)} \rangle = 0$ for $m \neq n$, where

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \text{Const Term} \left(fg \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (1 - \epsilon^{2(j+x)}) (1 - \epsilon^{2(j-x)}) \right).$$

Theorem 4.4. [FSV2] *Let $\epsilon = e^{\pi i/\kappa}$. For $p+1 \leq m, n \leq \kappa-p-1$, we have*

$$t_{m,n} = \epsilon^{\frac{n^2}{2}} \delta_{mn},$$

$$s_{m,n} = \frac{e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}}}{\sqrt{2\kappa}} \epsilon^{p(n-m) - \frac{p(p+1)}{2}} (\epsilon^{-m} - \epsilon^m) \left(\prod_{j=1}^p (\epsilon^{-n+j} - \epsilon^{n-j}) \right) P_{n-p-1}^{(p+1)}(m),$$

where $\delta_{mn} = 1$ for $m = n$ and 0 otherwise.

5. INTEGRAL IDENTITIES

To formulate our main result, we need functions η , ϕ_1 , ϕ_2 , and ϕ_3 . The Dedekind η -function is the function $\eta(\tau) = q^{1/24} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^j)$. We have $\vartheta_1'(0, \tau) = 2\pi\eta^3(\tau)$. Consider the functions [W]

$$\phi_1(\tau) = \frac{\eta(\tau)^2}{\eta(\frac{\tau}{2})\eta(2\tau)} = q^{-\frac{1}{48}} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + q^{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right), \quad \phi_2(\tau) = \frac{\eta(\frac{\tau}{2})}{\eta(\tau)} = q^{-\frac{1}{48}} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - q^{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right),$$

$$\phi_3(\tau) = \sqrt{2} \frac{\eta(2\tau)}{\eta(\tau)} = \sqrt{2} q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^j).$$

We have

$$\phi_1\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \phi_1(\tau), \quad \phi_2\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \phi_3(\tau), \quad \phi_3\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \phi_2(\tau),$$

$$\phi_1(\tau+1) = e^{-\frac{\pi i}{24}} \phi_2(\tau), \quad \phi_2(\tau+1) = e^{-\frac{\pi i}{24}} \phi_1(\tau), \quad \phi_3(\tau+1) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{12}} \phi_3(\tau).$$

Let

$$c_{\kappa,n} = c_{p,\kappa,n} = (2\pi)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{\kappa}} e^{-\pi i \frac{p(3p-1)}{2\kappa}} e^{\pi i \frac{p+1}{2}} B_p \left(\frac{n+1}{\kappa}, -\frac{2p}{\kappa}, \frac{1}{\kappa} \right) \prod_{j=1}^p \left(1 - e^{2\pi i \frac{n+j}{\kappa}} \right).$$

Here, $B_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is the Selberg integral.

Theorem 5.1. *We have ten series of identities,*

- (2) $u_{2p+2,p+1}(\lambda, \tau) = c_{2p+2,p+1} \vartheta_1(\lambda, \tau)^{p+1},$
- (3) $u_{2p+3,p+1}(\lambda, \tau) = c_{2p+3,p+1} \eta(\tau)^{-\frac{3(p+1)}{2p+3}} \vartheta_1^{p+1}(\lambda, \tau) \theta_{1,0}(\lambda, \tau),$
- (4) $u_{2p+3,p+2}(\lambda, \tau) = c_{2p+3,p+2} \eta(\tau)^{-\frac{3(p+1)}{2p+3}} \vartheta_1^{p+1}(\lambda, \tau) \theta_{1,1}(\lambda, \tau),$
- (5) $u_{2p+4,p+2}(\lambda, \tau) = 2^{-\frac{2(p+1)}{2p+4}} c_{2p+4,p+2} (\phi_3(\tau) \eta(\tau)^{-1})^{\frac{4(p+1)}{2p+4}} \vartheta_1^{p+1}(\lambda, \tau) \theta_{2,1}^s(\lambda, \tau),$
- (6) $u_{2p+4,p+1}(\lambda, \tau) + (-1)^{p+1} e^{2\pi i \frac{p(p+1)}{2p+4}} u_{2p+4,p+3}(\lambda, \tau) =$
 $c_{2p+4,p+1} (\phi_2(\tau) \eta(\tau)^{-1})^{\frac{4(p+1)}{2p+4}} \vartheta_1^{p+1}(\lambda, \tau) (\theta_{2,0}(\lambda, \tau) - \theta_{2,2}(\lambda, \tau)),$
- (7) $u_{2p+4,p+1}(\lambda, \tau) + (-1)^p e^{2\pi i \frac{p(p+1)}{2p+4}} u_{2p+4,p+3}(\lambda, \tau) =$
 $c_{2p+4,p+1} (\phi_1(\tau) \eta(\tau)^{-1})^{\frac{4(p+1)}{2p+4}} \vartheta_1^{p+1}(\lambda, \tau) (\theta_{2,0}(\lambda, \tau) + \theta_{2,2}(\lambda, \tau)),$
- (8) $u_{2p+6,p+1}(\lambda, \tau) + (-1)^{p+1} e^{2\pi i \frac{p(p+1)}{2p+6}} u_{2p+6,p+5}(\lambda, \tau) =$
 $2^{\frac{3(p+1)}{2p+6}} c_{2p+6,p+1} (\phi_3(\tau) \eta(\tau))^{-\frac{6(p+1)}{2p+6}} \vartheta_1^{p+1}(\lambda, \tau) (\theta_{4,0}(\lambda, \tau) - \theta_{4,4}(\lambda, \tau)),$
- (9) $u_{2p+6,p+2}(\lambda, \tau) + (-1)^p e^{2\pi i \frac{p(p+2)}{2p+6}} u_{2p+6,p+4}(\lambda, \tau) =$
 $c_{2p+6,p+2} (\phi_2(\tau) \eta(\tau))^{-\frac{6(p+1)}{2p+6}} \vartheta_1^{p+1}(\lambda, \tau) (\theta_{4,1}^s(\lambda, \tau) + \theta_{4,3}^s(\lambda, \tau)),$
- (10) $u_{2p+6,p+2}(\lambda, \tau) + (-1)^{p+1} e^{2\pi i \frac{p(p+2)}{2p+6}} u_{2p+6,p+4}(\lambda, \tau) =$
 $c_{2p+6,p+2} (\phi_1(\tau) \eta(\tau))^{-\frac{6(p+1)}{2p+6}} \vartheta_1^{p+1}(\lambda, \tau) (\theta_{4,1}^s(\lambda, \tau) - \theta_{4,3}^s(\lambda, \tau)),$
- (11) $u_{2p+8,p+2}(\lambda, \tau) + (-1)^{p+1} e^{2\pi i \frac{p(p+2)}{2p+8}} u_{2p+8,p+6}(\lambda, \tau) =$
 $c_{2p+8,p+2} \eta(\tau)^{-\frac{8(p+1)}{2p+8}} \vartheta_1^{p+1}(\lambda, \tau) (\theta_{6,1}^s(\lambda, \tau) - \theta_{6,5}^s(\lambda, \tau)).$

The integrals in Theorem 5.1 are appropriately called the elliptic Selberg integrals. The identity (2) appears in [FSV1] and in [FV1] for $p = 1$.

6. DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

In Lemmas 6.1–6.3, let $'$ denote the derivative with respect to λ , let $\cdot$ denote the derivative with respect to τ , and let $v(\lambda, \tau) = \vartheta_1^{p+1}(\lambda, \tau) \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa-2p-2} c_j(\tau) \theta_{\kappa-2p-2,j}^s(\lambda, \tau)$.

Lemma 6.1. *The function $v(\lambda, \tau)$ is a solution of the KZB-heat equation if and only if the differential equation*

$$(12) \quad \frac{\kappa}{p+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa-2p-2} \left(\frac{d}{d\tau} c_j \right) \theta_{\kappa-2p-2,j}^s = (2p+2-\kappa) \frac{\dot{\vartheta}_1}{\vartheta_1} \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa-2p-2} c_j \theta_{\kappa-2p-2,j}^s \\ - 2 \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa-2p-2} c_j \dot{\theta}_{\kappa-2p-2,j}^s + \frac{1}{\pi i} \frac{\vartheta_1'}{\vartheta_1} \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa-2p-2} c_j (\theta_{\kappa-2p-2,j}^s)'$$

holds.

The proof of Lemma 6.1 uses the identities

$$(13) \quad 2\pi i(2p+2)(\vartheta_1^{p+1})'(\lambda, \tau) = (\vartheta_1^{p+1})''(\lambda, \tau) + p(p+1)\rho'(\lambda, \tau)\vartheta_1^{p+1}(\lambda, \tau),$$

$$(14) \quad 2\pi i \kappa \dot{\theta}_{\kappa,m}^s(\lambda, \tau) = (\theta_{\kappa,m}^s)''(\lambda, \tau).$$

Proof of Lemma 6.1. Applying the differential operator $2\pi i \kappa \partial / \partial \tau$ to $v(\lambda, \tau)$ gives

$$2\pi i \kappa \left[(p+1) \dot{\vartheta}_1 \vartheta_1^p \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa-2p-2} c_j \theta_{\kappa-2p-2,j}^s + \vartheta_1^{p+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa-2p-2} \left(\frac{d}{d\tau} c_j \right) \theta_{\kappa-2p-2,j}^s \right. \\ \left. + \vartheta_1^{p+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa-2p-2} c_j \dot{\theta}_{\kappa-2p-2,j}^s \right].$$

Applying the differential operator $\partial^2 / \partial \lambda^2 + p(p+1)\rho'(\lambda, \tau)$ to $v(\lambda, \tau)$ gives

$$(\vartheta_1^{p+1})'' \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa-2p-2} c_j \theta_{\kappa-2p-2,j}^s + 2(p+1) \vartheta_1' \vartheta_1^p \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa-2p-2} c_j (\theta_{\kappa-2p-2,j}^s)' \\ + \vartheta_1^{p+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa-2p-2} c_j (\theta_{\kappa-2p-2,j}^s)'' + p(p+1) \rho' \vartheta_1^{p+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa-2p-2} c_j \theta_{\kappa-2p-2,j}^s.$$

Applying (13) and (14), we obtain the result. $\square$

Lemma 6.2. *If $v(\lambda, \tau)$ is a solution of the KZB-heat equation, then the functions $c_j(\tau)$ satisfy the differential equation*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\kappa}{p+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa-2p-2} \left(\frac{d}{d\tau} c_j(\tau) \right) \theta_{\kappa-2p-2,j}(0, \tau) = \\ (2p+2-\kappa) \left(\frac{d}{d\tau} \ln \vartheta'_1(0, \tau) \right) \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa-2p-2} c_j(\tau) \theta_{\kappa-2p-2,j}(0, \tau) \\ + 2(\kappa-2p-3) \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa-2p-2} c_j(\tau) \frac{d}{d\tau} \theta_{\kappa-2p-2,j}(0, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For any fixed λ , equation (12) gives a differential equation for the functions $c_j(\tau)$. We take the limit of that equation as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. In the ratio $\dot{\vartheta}_1/\vartheta_1$, both the numerator and denominator tend to zero, so the limit of this term as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ is equal to the limit of the ratio of the derivatives of the numerator and the denominator. The limit of the ratio $(\sum_{j=0}^{\kappa-2p-2} c_j(\theta_{\kappa-2p-2,j}^s)')/\vartheta_1$ is calculated in the same way, since each $\theta_{\kappa-2p-2,j}^s$ is a symmetric function and therefore $(\theta_{\kappa-2p-2,j}^s)'(0, \tau) = 0$. Then the result follows from (14). $\square$

Lemma 6.3. *If $v(\lambda, \tau)$ is a solution of the KZB-heat equation, then the functions $c_j(\tau)$ satisfy the differential equation*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\kappa}{p+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa-2p-2} \left(\frac{d}{d\tau} c_j(\tau) \right) \theta_{\kappa-2p-2, \kappa-2p-2-j}(0, \tau) = \\ (2p+2-\kappa) \left(\frac{d}{d\tau} \ln \vartheta'_1(0, \tau) \right) \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa-2p-2} c_j(\tau) \theta_{\kappa-2p-2, \kappa-2p-2-j}(0, \tau) \\ + 2(\kappa-2p-3) \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa-2p-2} c_j(\tau) \frac{d}{d\tau} \theta_{\kappa-2p-2, \kappa-2p-2-j}(0, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We take the limit of (12) as $\lambda \rightarrow \tau$. This limit is calculated in terms of the limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ using the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \theta_1(z, \tau)|_{z=\lambda+\tau} &= e^{-2\pi i \lambda - \pi i \tau} (2\pi i \theta_1(\lambda, \tau) - \theta'_1(\lambda, \tau)), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \theta_1(\lambda + \tau, z)|_{z=\tau} &= e^{-2\pi i \lambda - \pi i \tau} \left(-\pi i \theta_1(\lambda, \tau) + \theta'_1(\lambda, \tau) - \dot{\theta}_1(\lambda, \tau) \right), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \theta_{\kappa, m}^s(z, \tau)|_{z=\lambda+\tau} &= e^{-\pi i \kappa \lambda - \pi i \kappa \frac{\tau}{2}} \left(-\pi i \kappa \theta_{\kappa, \kappa-m}^s(\lambda, \tau) + (\theta_{\kappa, \kappa-m}^s)'(\lambda, \tau) \right), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \theta_{\kappa, m}^s(\lambda + \tau, z)|_{z=\tau} &= e^{-\pi i \kappa \lambda - \pi i \kappa \frac{\tau}{2}} \left(\pi i \frac{\kappa}{2} \theta_{\kappa, \kappa-m}^s(\lambda, \tau) - (\theta_{\kappa, \kappa-m}^s)'(\lambda, \tau) + \dot{\theta}_{\kappa, \kappa-m}^s(\lambda, \tau) \right). \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to calculate the limit of the left hand side. Using the above formulas, the limit as $\lambda \rightarrow \tau$ of the right hand side is equal to the limit as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ of the expression

$$e^{\pi i(2p+2-\kappa)(\lambda+\frac{\tau}{2})} \left((2p+2-\kappa) \frac{\dot{\vartheta}_1}{\vartheta_1} \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa-2p-2} c_j \theta_{\kappa-2p-2, \kappa-2p-2-j}^s - 2 \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa-2p-2} c_j \dot{\theta}_{\kappa-2p-2, \kappa-2p-2-j}^s + \frac{1}{\pi i} \frac{\vartheta_1'}{\vartheta_1} \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa-2p-2} c_j (\theta_{\kappa-2p-2, \kappa-2p-2-j}^s)' \right).$$

This limit is calculated using L'Hôpital's rule. $\square$

7. IDENTITIES FOR THETA FUNCTIONS

In the next section, we give the proofs of the integral identities in Theorem 5.1. We will use the following results.

Lemma 7.1. *We have $\theta_{2,1}^s(\lambda) = \vartheta_1(\lambda + 1/2)$.*

Lemma 7.1 is proved by comparing the Fourier series expansions of the functions.

Corollary 7.2. *We have $2\theta_{2,1}(0) = \eta(\tau)\phi_3(\tau)^2$.*

Lemma 7.3. *Let*

$$f_1(\tau) = \frac{\theta_{4,1}(0) - \theta_{4,3}(0)}{\eta(\tau)}, \quad f_2(\tau) = \frac{\theta_{4,1}(0) + \theta_{4,3}(0)}{\eta(\tau)}, \quad f_3(\tau) = \frac{\theta_{4,0}(0) - \theta_{4,4}(0)}{\sqrt{2}\eta(\tau)}.$$

Then $f_1(\tau) = \phi_1(\tau)^{-1}$, $f_2(\tau) = \phi_2(\tau)^{-1}$, $f_3(\tau) = \phi_3(\tau)^{-1}$.

The proof of Lemma 7.3 is based on the following result.

Lemma 7.4. [W] *Suppose $g_1(\tau)$, $g_2(\tau)$, and $g_3(\tau)$ are holomorphic functions on the upper half plane $\mathbb{C}_+$ satisfying the following conditions.*

P1. *The functions g_1 , g_2 , and g_3 can be written in the forms*

$$g_1(\tau) = q^{-\frac{a}{48}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j q^{\frac{j}{2}}, \quad g_2(\tau) = q^{-\frac{a}{48}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j a_j q^{\frac{j}{2}}, \quad g_3(\tau) = q^{\frac{a}{24}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j q^j,$$

where a is an integer, $a_j, b_j \in \mathbb{C}$, and $a_0 = 1$.

P2. *The functions g_1 , g_2 , and g_3 have the modular properties*

$$g_1\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = g_1(\tau), \quad g_2\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = g_3(\tau), \quad g_3\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = g_2(\tau).$$

Then $g_i(\tau) = \phi_i(\tau)^a$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Proof of Proposition 7.3. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{4,1}(0) &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{4(j+\frac{1}{8})^2} = q^{\frac{1}{16}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{1}{2}(8j^2+2j)}, & \theta_{4,3}(0) &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{4(j+\frac{3}{8})^2} = q^{\frac{1}{16}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{1}{2}(8j^2+6j+1)}, \\ \theta_{4,0}(0) &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{4j^2} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{(2j)^2}, & \theta_{4,4}(0) &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{4(j+\frac{1}{2})^2} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{(2j+1)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the functions

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{f}_1(\tau) &= q^{-\frac{1}{24}}(\theta_{4,1}(0) - \theta_{4,3}(0)), & \tilde{f}_2(\tau) &= q^{-\frac{1}{24}}(\theta_{4,1}(0) + \theta_{4,3}(0)), \\ \tilde{f}_3(\tau) &= 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}q^{-\frac{1}{24}}(\theta_{4,0}(0) - \theta_{4,4}(0))\end{aligned}$$

are holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}_+$ with the property P1 for $a = -1$ and $g_i = \tilde{f}_i$. Let $y(q) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j q^j$ be defined by the condition $1 + y(q) = q^{-1/24} \eta(\tau)$. Then for $i = 1, 2, 3$,

$$f_i(\tau) = \frac{\tilde{f}_i(\tau)}{1 + y(q)} = \tilde{f}_i(\tau)(1 - y(q) + y(q)^2 + \dots)$$

are holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}_+$ with the property P1 for $a = -1$ and $g_i = f_i$. One checks that f_1 , f_2 and f_3 have the property P2 using the modular properties of $\theta_{4,n}(0)$ and $\eta(\tau)$. $\square$

Lemma 7.5. *We have $\theta_{6,1}(0) - \theta_{6,5}(0) = \eta(\tau)$.*

Lemma 7.5 is proved by comparing the infinite series expansions of the functions.

8. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 5.1

8.1. Proof of (2). For $\kappa = 2p + 2$, the space of conformal blocks is one-dimensional. The right hand side of (2) is a solution of (1) with the properties (i)-(iv) [FV1]. According to Theorem 3.1, the left hand side also has these properties. Thus the two functions are proportional. The coefficient of proportionality is calculated by comparing the leading terms of ϑ_1^{p+1} and $u_{\kappa,p+1}$ in the limit as $\tau \rightarrow i\infty$. The leading term of ϑ_1^{p+1} is $(-i)^{p+1} q^{(p+1)/8} (e^{\pi i \lambda} - e^{-\pi i \lambda})^{p+1}$. Let $dt = dt_1 \dots dt_p$. The leading term of $u_{\kappa,p+1}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}& \int_{\Delta_p} \prod_{j=1}^p \left(\frac{e^{\pi i t_j} - e^{-\pi i t_j}}{2\pi e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}}} \right)^{-\frac{2p}{2p+2}-1} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq p} \left(\frac{e^{\pi i(t_j - t_k)} - e^{-\pi i(t_j - t_k)}}{2\pi e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{2p+2}} \\ & \quad \left(\left(\prod_{j=1}^p \frac{e^{\pi i(\lambda - t_j)} - e^{-\pi i(\lambda - t_j)}}{e^{\pi i \lambda} - e^{-\pi i \lambda}} \right) q^{\frac{(p+1)^2}{4(2p+2)}} e^{\pi i(p+1)(\lambda + \frac{2}{2p+2} \sum_{j=1}^p t_j)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (-1)^{p+1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^p \frac{e^{\pi i(\lambda + t_j)} - e^{-\pi i(\lambda + t_j)}}{e^{\pi i \lambda} - e^{-\pi i \lambda}} \right) q^{\frac{(p+1)^2}{4(2p+2)}} e^{\pi i(p+1)(-\lambda + \frac{2}{2p+2} \sum_{j=1}^p t_j)} \right) dt.\end{aligned}$$

The above expression is equal to

$$(2\pi e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}})^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2p+2}+p} e^{-\pi i(\frac{2p^2}{2p+2}+p)} q^{\frac{p+1}{8}} (e^{\pi i\lambda} - e^{-\pi i\lambda})^{-p} \sum_{l=0}^p I_l (e^{\pi i(2l+1)\lambda} - e^{-\pi i(2l+1)\lambda}),$$

where

$$I_l = \int_{\Delta_p} f_l(t_1, \dots, t_p) \prod_{j=1}^p e^{2\pi i t_j (\frac{p+2}{2p+2} + \frac{1}{2})} (1 - e^{2\pi i t_j})^{-\frac{2p}{2p+2}-1} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq p} (e^{2\pi i t_j} - e^{2\pi i t_k})^{\frac{2}{2p+2}} dt,$$

for some function f_l , symmetric in the variables $t_1, \dots, t_p$. Comparing the coefficients of $e^{\pi i(p+1)\lambda}$ in the leading terms, we find that $u_{\kappa, p+1} = i^{p+1} (2\pi e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}})^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2p+2}+p} e^{-\pi i(\frac{2p^2}{2p+2}+p)} I_p \vartheta_1^{p+1}$. To complete the proof, it remains to compute I_p . It is not difficult to show that $f_p(t_1, \dots, t_p) = \prod_{j=1}^p e^{-\pi i t_j}$. Let $x_j = e^{2\pi i t_j}$. Let $\tilde{\Delta}_p$ be the image of Δ_p under the map $t_j \mapsto x_j$. We have

$$I_p = (2\pi i)^{-p} \int_{\tilde{\Delta}_p} \prod_{j=1}^p x_j^{\frac{p+2}{2p+2}-1} (1 - x_j)^{-\frac{2p}{2p+2}-1} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq p} (x_j - x_k)^{\frac{2}{2p+2}} dx.$$

Applying the Stokes theorem, we deform the contour $\tilde{\Delta}_p$ to get

$$I_p = (2\pi i)^{-p} \prod_{j=1}^p (e^{2\pi i \frac{j+p+1}{2p+2}} - 1) \int_{\Delta_p} \prod_{j=1}^p x_j^{\frac{p+2}{2p+2}-1} (1 - x_j)^{-\frac{2p}{2p+2}-1} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq p} (x_j - x_k)^{\frac{2}{2p+2}} dx.$$

Observe that

$$\int_{\Delta_p} \prod_{j=1}^p x_j^{\frac{p+2}{2p+2}-1} (1 - x_j)^{-\frac{2p}{2p+2}-1} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq p} (x_j - x_k)^{\frac{2}{2p+2}} dx$$

is the Selberg integral $B_p((p+2)/(2p+2), -2p/(2p+2), 1/(2p+2))$. This completes the proof.

8.2. Proof of (3) and (4). Let $\kappa = 2p + 3$. Then any solution of (1) with the properties (i)-(iv) has the form $v(\lambda, \tau) = \vartheta_1^{p+1}(\lambda, \tau)(c_0(\tau)\theta_{1,0}(\lambda, \tau) + c_1(\tau)\theta_{1,1}(\lambda, \tau))$. Let A be the transformation introduced in section 4. By Proposition 4.1, $Av(\lambda, \tau) = (-1)^{p+1}\vartheta_1^{p+1}(\lambda, \tau)(c_0(\tau)\theta_{1,0}(\lambda, \tau) - c_1(\tau)\theta_{1,1}(\lambda, \tau))$ is also a solution. Hence, for $j = 0$ or 1 , the function $v_j(\lambda, \tau) = c_j(\tau)\vartheta_1^{p+1}(\lambda, \tau)\theta_{1,j}(\lambda, \tau)$ gives a solution too. Moreover, $Av_j = (-1)^{p+1+j}v_j$. By Theorem 3.2, the integrals $u_{\kappa, p+1}$ and $u_{\kappa, p+2}$ span the space of conformal blocks. By Lemma 4.3, $Au_{\kappa, p+1} = (-1)^{p+1}u_{\kappa, p+1}$ and $Au_{\kappa, p+2} = (-1)^p u_{\kappa, p+2}$. So for $j = 0$ or 1 , the integral $u_{\kappa, p+1+j}$ is proportional to v_j . By Lemma 6.2, $c_j(\tau)$ must satisfy the differential equation

$$\frac{\kappa}{p+1} \left(\frac{d}{d\tau} c_j(\tau) \right) \theta_{1,j}(0, \tau) = - \left(\frac{d}{d\tau} \ln \vartheta_1'(0, \tau) \right) c_j(\tau) \theta_{1,j}(0, \tau).$$

The function $c_j(\tau) = ((2\pi)^{-1}\vartheta'_1(0, \tau))^{-(p+1)/\kappa} = \eta(\tau)^{-3(p+1)/\kappa}$ is a solution of this equation for $j = 0$ and $j = 1$. The coefficients of proportionality are computed in the limit as $\tau \rightarrow i\infty$, cf. the proof of (2). This completes the proof.

8.3. Proof of (5), (6), and (7). Let $\kappa = 2p+4$. Let $v_j(\lambda, \tau) = \vartheta_1^{p+1}(\lambda, \tau)\theta_{2,j}^s(\lambda, \tau)$, $0 \leq j \leq 2$. Any solution of (1) with the properties (i)-(iv) has the form $v(\lambda, \tau) = \sum_{j=0}^2 c_j(\tau)v_j(\lambda, \tau)$. Let A be the transformation in section 4. By Proposition 4.1, $Av = \sum_{j=0}^2 (-1)^{p+j+1}c_jv_j$ is also a solution. Hence the function c_1v_1 gives a solution too. Moreover, it is an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue $(-1)^p$. By Theorem 3.2, the space of conformal blocks is three-dimensional with spanning set $\{u_{\kappa,n} \mid p+1 \leq n \leq p+3\}$. According to Lemma 4.3, the eigenspace of A corresponding to the eigenvalue $(-1)^p$ is one-dimensional and is spanned by $u_{\kappa,p+2}$. It follows that $u_{\kappa,p+2}$ is proportional to c_1v_1 . By Lemma 6.2, $c_1(\tau)$ must satisfy the differential equation

$$\frac{\kappa}{p+1} \left(\frac{d}{d\tau} c_1(\tau) \right) \theta_{2,1}(0, \tau) = -2 \left(\frac{d}{d\tau} \ln \vartheta'_1(0, \tau) \right) c_1(\tau) \theta_{2,1}(0, \tau) + 2c_1(\tau) \frac{d}{d\tau} \theta_{2,1}(0, \tau).$$

The function $c_1(\tau) = (4\pi\theta_{2,1}(0, \tau)\vartheta'_1(0, \tau)^{-1})^{2(p+1)/\kappa}$ is a solution of the above equation. By Corollary 7.2, $c_1(\tau) = (\phi_3(\tau)\eta(\tau)^{-1})^{4(p+1)/\kappa}$. The coefficient of proportionality is computed in the limit $\tau \rightarrow i\infty$, cf. the proof of (2). This proves (5). To prove (6), we apply the transformation S to both sides of (5). To prove (7), we apply the transformation T to both sides of (6). This completes the proof.

8.4. Proof of (8), (9), and (10). Let $\kappa = 2p+6$. Let $v_j(\lambda, \tau) = \vartheta_1^{p+1}(\lambda, \tau)\theta_{4,j}^s(\lambda, \tau)$, $0 \leq j \leq 4$. Any solution of (1) with the properties (i)-(iv) has the form $v(\lambda, \tau) = \sum_{j=0}^4 c_j(\tau)v_j(\lambda, \tau)$. Let A and B be the transformations in section 4. By Proposition 4.1, $Av = \sum_{j=0}^4 (-1)^{p+j+1}c_jv_j$ is also a solution. Hence the function $c_0v_0 + c_2v_2 + c_4v_4$ gives a solution too. Moreover, $B(c_0v_0 + c_2v_2 + c_4v_4) = (-1)^{p+1}(c_4v_0 + c_2v_2 + c_0v_4)$ is also a solution. So there exists a solution of the form $c(\tau)(v_0 - v_4)$. It is an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue $(-1)^{p+1}$ and an eigenvector of B with eigenvalue $(-1)^p$. We show that the subspace of conformal blocks with this property is one-dimensional. By Theorem 3.2, the space of conformal blocks is five-dimensional with spanning set $\{u_{\kappa,n} \mid p+1 \leq n \leq p+5\}$. By Lemma 4.3, the eigenspace of A corresponding to the eigenvalue $(-1)^{p+1}$ is three-dimensional and is spanned by $u_{\kappa,p+1}$, $u_{\kappa,p+3}$, and $u_{\kappa,p+5}$. By Lemma 4.3, the transformation B preserves the subspace $\langle u_{\kappa,p+1}, u_{\kappa,p+3}, u_{\kappa,p+5} \rangle$. The matrix of B restricted to this subspace is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -e^{2\pi i \frac{p(p+5)}{\kappa}} \\ 0 & (-1)^{p+1} & 0 \\ -e^{2\pi i \frac{p(p+1)}{\kappa}} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the restriction of B to $\langle u_{\kappa,p+1}, u_{\kappa,p+3}, u_{\kappa,p+5} \rangle$ has eigenvalues $(-1)^p$ and $(-1)^{p+1}$ of multiplicities 1 and 2, respectively. The eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue

$(-1)^p$ is spanned by the vector $u_{\kappa,p+1} + (-1)^{p+1}e^{2\pi ip(p+1)/\kappa}u_{\kappa,p+5}$. It follows that this vector is proportional to $c(\tau)(v_0 - v_4)$. By Lemma 6.2, $c(\tau)$ must satisfy the differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\kappa}{p+1} \left(\frac{d}{d\tau} c(\tau) \right) (\theta_{4,0}(0, \tau) - \theta_{4,4}(0, \tau)) = \\ -4 \left(\frac{d}{d\tau} \ln \vartheta_1'(0, \tau) \right) c(\tau) (\theta_{4,0}(0, \tau) - \theta_{4,4}(0, \tau)) + 6c(\tau) \frac{d}{d\tau} (\theta_{4,0}(0, \tau) - \theta_{4,4}(0, \tau)). \end{aligned}$$

The function $c(\tau) = ((2\pi)^2(\theta_{4,0}(0, \tau) - \theta_{4,4}(0, \tau))^3 \vartheta_1'(0, \tau)^{-2})^{2(p+1)/\kappa}$ is a solution of the above equation. By Lemma 7.3, we have $c(\tau) = 2^{3(p+1)/\kappa}(\phi_3(\tau)\eta(\tau))^{-6(p+1)/\kappa}$. The coefficient of proportionality is computed as in the proof of (2). This proves (8). To prove (9) and (10), apply the transformations S and TS , respectively, to both sides of (8). This completes the proof.

8.5. Proof of (11). Let $\kappa = 2p + 8$. Let $v_j(\lambda, \tau) = \vartheta_1^{p+1}(\lambda, \tau)\theta_{6,j}^s(\lambda, \tau)$, $0 \leq j \leq 6$. Any solution of (1) with the properties (i)-(iv) has the form $v(\lambda, \tau) = \sum_{j=0}^6 c_j(\tau)v_j(\lambda, \tau)$. Let A and B be as in section 4. By Proposition 4.1, $Av = \sum_{j=0}^6 (-1)^{p+j+1}c_j v_j$ is also a solution. Hence the function $c_1 v_1 + c_3 v_3 + c_5 v_5$ gives a solution too. The function $B(c_1 v_1 + c_3 v_3 + c_5 v_5) = (-1)^{p+1}(c_5 v_1 + c_3 v_3 + c_1 v_5)$ also gives a solution. So there is a solution of the form $c(\tau)(v_1 - v_5)$ which is an eigenvector of A and B with eigenvalue $(-1)^p$ under both transformations. We show that the subspace of conformal blocks with this property is one-dimensional. By Theorem 3.2, the space of conformal blocks is seven-dimensional with spanning set $\{u_{\kappa,n} \mid p+1 \leq n \leq p+7\}$. By Lemma 4.3, the three-dimensional eigenspace of A corresponding to the eigenvalue $(-1)^p$ is spanned by $u_{\kappa,p+2}$, $u_{\kappa,p+4}$, and $u_{\kappa,p+6}$. The matrix of B restricted to $\langle u_{\kappa,p+2}, u_{\kappa,p+4}, u_{\kappa,p+6} \rangle$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -e^{2\pi i \frac{p(p+6)}{\kappa}} \\ 0 & (-1)^{p+1} & 0 \\ -e^{2\pi i \frac{p(p+2)}{\kappa}} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, B has eigenvalues $(-1)^p$ and $(-1)^{p+1}$ of multiplicities 1 and 2, respectively. The eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue $(-1)^p$ is spanned by the vector $u_{\kappa,p+2} + (-1)^{p+1}e^{2\pi ip(p+2)/\kappa}u_{\kappa,p+6}$. So this vector is proportional to $c(\tau)(v_1 - v_5)$. By Lemma 6.2, $c(\tau)$ must be a solution of the differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\kappa}{p+1} \left(\frac{d}{d\tau} c(\tau) \right) (\theta_{6,1}(0, \tau) - \theta_{6,5}(0, \tau)) = \\ -6 \left(\frac{d}{d\tau} \ln \vartheta_1'(0, \tau) \right) c(\tau) (\theta_{6,1}(0, \tau) - \theta_{6,5}(0, \tau)) + 10c(\tau) \frac{d}{d\tau} (\theta_{6,1}(0, \tau) - \theta_{6,5}(0, \tau)). \end{aligned}$$

The function $c(\tau) = ((2\pi)^3(\theta_{6,1}(0, \tau) - \theta_{6,5}(0, \tau))^5 \vartheta_1'(0, \tau)^{-3})^{2(p+1)/\kappa}$ is a solution of the preceding equation. By Lemma 7.5, $c(\tau) = (\eta(\tau))^{-8(p+1)/\kappa}$. The coefficient of proportionality is computed as in the proof of (2). Notice that the solution in (11) is invariant with respect to the action of the modular group. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [A1] K. Aomoto, *Jacobi polynomials associated with Selberg integrals*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. , 1987, N. 18, 545-549.
- [A2] K. Aomoto, *On the complex Selberg integral*, Q. J. Math. Oxford, 1987, N. 38, 385-399.
- [As] R. S. Askey, *Some basic hypergeometric extensions of integrals of Selberg and Andrews*, SIAM J. Math. , 1980, N. 11, 938-951.
- [D] V. Dotsenko, *Solving the $SU(2)$ Conformal Field Theory with the Wakimoto Free-Field Representation*, (in Russian), Moscow, 1990, 1-31.
- [DF1] V. Dotsenko and V. Fateev, *Conformal algebra and multipoint correlation functions in 2-D statistical models*, Nucl. Phys., 1984, N. B240, 312-348.
- [DF2] V. Dotsenko and V. Fateev, *Four-point correlation functions and the operator algebra in 2-D conformal invariant theories with central charge $C \leq 1$* , Nucl. Phys., 1985, N. B251, 691-734.
- [EK] P. Etingof, A. Kirillov, Jr., *On the affine analogue of Jack's and Macdonald's polynomials*, Duke Math J. **78** (1995), no. 2, 229-256.
- [FSV1] G. Felder, L. Stevens, and A. Varchenko, *Elliptic Selberg integrals*, math. QA/0103227.
- [FSV2] G. Felder, L. Stevens, and A. Varchenko, *Modular transformations of the elliptic hypergeometric functions, Macdonald polynomials, and the shift operator*, math. QA/0203049, to appear in Moscow Math J.
- [FV1] G. Felder and A. Varchenko, *Integral Representations of the elliptic Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov-Bernard equations*, Int. Math. Res. notices, 1995, N. 5, 221-233.
- [FV2] G. Felder and A. Varchenko, *The q -Deformed KZB-heat Equation*, math. QA/9809139.
- [HM] J. Harnad and J. McKay, *Modular Solutions to Equations of Generalized Halphen Type*, math.-MP/9804006.
- [Ma] I.G. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Orthogonal Polynomials*, American Mathematical Society, 1998.
- [M] M.L. Mehta, *Random Matrices*, Academic Press, 1991.
- [S] A. Selberg, *Bemerkninger om et multipliet integral*, Norsk Mat. Tidsskr., 1944, N. 26, 71-78.
- [W] M. Wakimoto, *Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras*, American Mathematical Society, 1999.
- [WW] E.T. Whittaker and G.N. Watson, *Modern Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1927.